

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,562	12/30/2003	Gregory P. Crawford	59067US002	8039
32692	7590 03/29/2005		EXAMINER	
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY			CHEN, WEN YING PATTY	
PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2871	

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AL

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cumment	10/748,562	CRAWFORD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Wen-Ying P. Chen	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed  will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 10/748,562 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-51 and 53, drawn to methods of aligning liquid crystal, classified in class 349, subclass 42.

II. Claim 52, drawn to an article, classified in class 385.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product, invention II, can be made by a materially different process such as aligning the liquid crystal relative to the alignment material based on the interference pattern, or aligning the liquid crystal relative to the alignment material based on the polarization state of the radiation.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 10/748,562

Art Unit: 2871

(1) the specifics of the method of aligning liquid crystal by exposing an alignment material to an interference pattern to cause a chemical reaction in the alignment material wherein the liquid crystal aligns relative to the alignment material based on the interference pattern, which results a first method (claims 1-45);

Page 3

- (2) the specifics of the method of aligning liquid crystal by exposing an alignment material to radiation wherein different portions of the alignment material are simultaneously exposed to different polarization states of the radiation and the liquid crystal aligns relative to the alignment material based on the polarization state of the radiation, which results a second method (claims 46-48);
- (3) the specifics of the method of aligning liquid crystal by exposing an alignment material to polarized radiation wherein the polarization state of the radiation varies continuously across a portion of the alignment material and the liquid crystal aligns relative to the alignment material based on the polarization state of the radiation, which results a third method (claim 49);
- (4) the specifics of the method of aligning liquid crystal by exposing a curved surface comprising an alignment material to polarized radiation wherein the liquid crystal aligns substantially parallel to an alignment direction related to the polarized radiation, which results a fourth method (claims 50-51);
- (5) the specifics of the method of aligning liquid crystal by overlapping at least three beams originating form the same source to form an interference pattern wherein the liquid crystal aligns relative to the alignment material based on the interference pattern, which results a fifth method (claim 53).

Application/Control Number: 10/748,562 Page 4

Art Unit: 2871

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

If specie (1) is elected, the applicant is required to further elect from the sub-species grouped as follows:

a) the specifics of the method of alignment wherein the chemical reaction causes:

i. polymerization in the alignment material

or

- ii. depolymerization in the alignment material
- b) the specifics of the method of alignment wherein the surface is:
  - i. substantially planar

or

- ii. curved
- c) the specifics of the method of alignment wherein the liquid crystal aligns:
  - i. substantially homeotropically where the alignment material is exposed to regions of high intensity

or

ii. substantially homeotropically where the alignment material is exposed to regions of low intensity

or

iii. substantially homogeneously where the alignment material is exposed to regions of high intensity

or

- iv. substantially homogeneously where the alignment material is exposed to regions of low intensity
- d) the specifics of the method of alignment wherein the interference pattern comprises regions of:
  - i. different linear polarization

or

- ii. linear polarization
- e) the specifics of the method of alignment wherein at least a portion of the liquid crystal aligns:
  - i. substantially homeotropically relative to a surface of the alignment material or

ii. obliquely relative to a surface of the alignment material

Art Unit: 2871

or

- iii. substantially homogeneously relative to a surface of the alignment material
- f) the specifics of the method of alignment wherein two of the beams have:
  - i. similar polarization

or

- ii. different polarization
- g) the specifics of the method of alignment wherein the liquid crystal is disposed on the surface:
  - i. prior to exposure to the interference pattern

or

ii. after exposure to the interference pattern

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wen-Ying P. Chen whose telephone number is (571)272-8444. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571)272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wen-Ying P Chen

Examiner

Art Unit 2871

wpc

SUPERVISORY PATER 2800